

Remark 3.209. [275] Consider again Proposition [26J] that states that \mathbb{N}_{ZF} is well ordered by the relation \subseteq .

We know by [255] and [257] that \mathbb{N}_{ZF} is an ordinal; we may be tempted to see Proposition [26J] as a corollary of the previous result [26V].

This is unfortunately not a well posed way of proving this result, because of this cascade of dependencies:

- the proof of [26V] relies on the result [263]
- the result [263] in turn needs a definition by recurrence of a function: this is Theorem [08Z]
- the proof of Theorem [08Z] uses the fact that the induction principle holds on \mathbb{N} .

So we need to first prove the properties of \mathbb{N}_{ZF} independently of the theory of ordinals, and then prove the results in Sec. [1x9], and then eventually we can prove the result [26V], that states that any ordinal is well ordered by the relation \subseteq .